

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|------------------------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 10/629,704 | 07/30/2003 | | Koji Arihara | 051841-0109 | 5354 | | |
| 22428 | 7590 | 11/21/2006 | • | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500 | | | | KRAUSE, JUST | KRAUSE, JUSTIN MITCHELL | | |
| 3000 K STREET NW | | | | ART UNIT | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20007 | | | 3682 | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------|---------------|
| 10/629,704 | ARIHARA, KOJI |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Justin Krause | 3682 |

| | Justin Krause | 3682 | |
|--|--|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the d | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>26 October 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | RALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o | Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). | g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I | out prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered be | ecause |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | 000000 |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially re | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | 16 and 41.33(a)). | | |
| 4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | ·• | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | · | - | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: | • | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-10</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | · | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | h h a fann an am Alba alata a f fillian a Al | - 4: 4: A | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fai | ls to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ned. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowar | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (| PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | _ | -1 ml | |
| | | Thomas R. Hannor | |
| | | Primary Examiner | • |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Depentent claims that previously depended from claim 1, and now depend from amended claim 1 are new combinations not previously presented, therefore raising new issues requiring further search and consideration.